

HEAT KERNEL EXPANSIONS ON THE INTEGERS

F. ALBERTO GRÜNBAUM AND PLAMEN ILIEV

ABSTRACT. In the case of the heat equation $u_t = u_{xx} + Vu$ on the real line there are some remarkable potentials V for which the asymptotic expansion of the fundamental solution becomes a finite sum and gives an exact formula.

We show that a similar phenomenon holds when one replaces the real line by the integers. In this case the second derivative is replaced by the second difference operator L_0 . We show if L denotes the result of applying a finite number of Darboux transformations to L_0 then the fundamental solution of $u_t = Lu$ is given by a finite sum of terms involving the Bessel function I of imaginary argument.

1. HEAT KERNEL EXPANSIONS

The subject of heat kernel expansions on Riemannian manifolds with or without boundaries cuts across a number of branches of mathematics and serves as an interesting playground for a whole array of interactions with physics.

It suffices to mention, for instance, the work of M. Kac [18] on the issue of recovering the shape of a drum from its pure tones, as well as the suggestion by H. P. McKean and I. Singer [20] that one should be able to find a “heat equation proof” of the index theorem. For an updated account, see [8, 23].

In the simpler case of the whole real line, the fundamental solution of the equation

$$u_t = u_{xx} + V(x)u, \quad u(x, 0) = \delta_y(x)$$

admits an asymptotic expansion valid for *small* t and x close to y , in the form

$$u(x, y, t) \sim \frac{e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4t}}}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n(x, y) t^n \right).$$

When V is taken to be a potential such that $L = (d/dx)^2 + V$ belongs to a *rank one bispectral ring*, then something remarkable happens, namely this expansion gives rise to an exact formula consisting of a finite number of terms and valid for *all* x , y as well as *all* t . For a few examples of this see [15], as well as references in [3]. See also [24] where the author points to [21] for useful connections between the heat equation and KdV invariants. For a general discussion of the *bispectral problem* see [10] and [27] and for a sample of problems touching upon this area see [4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 13].

In the case above the potentials V 's are the rational solutions of the KdV equation decaying at infinity, see [2]. They can be obtained by a finite number of applications of the Darboux process starting from the trivial potential $V = 0$, see [1]. The remarks above rest on the basic fact that the Darboux process maps operators of the form $d^2/dx^2 + V$ into themselves.

Following some preliminary explorations in [14] we would like to use this “soliton technology” as a tool for the discovery of the general form of a heat kernel expansion on the integers. In this case, there seems to be no general theory predicting even the existence of asymptotic expansions.

If one replaces the real line by the integers and looks for the fundamental solution of

$$u_t(n, t) = u(n+1, t) - 2u(n, t) + u(n-1, t) \equiv L_0 u(n, t)$$

with $u(n, 0) = \delta_{nm}$, one obtains, in terms of the Bessel function $I_n(t)$ of imaginary argument, the well-known result

$$u(n, t) = e^{-2t} I_{n-m}(2t).$$

A nice reference for this is [12], volume 2. In the context of $l^2(\mathbb{Z})$ it is simplest to study L_0 by Fourier methods and obtain for the fundamental solution of the heat equation the expression

$$\frac{e^{-2t}}{2\pi i} \oint e^{t(x+x^{-1})} x^{n-m} \frac{dx}{x}. \quad (1.1)$$

One can replace the second difference operator L_0 above by an appropriate perturbation of it and look at the corresponding heat equation and its fundamental solution. The purpose of this paper is to describe the result when the ordinary second difference operator is subject to a finite number of Darboux factorization steps. In this case the spectrum is a finite interval and the factorization can be performed at each end (see (2.1)). Formula (1.1) above will be modified properly, in (5.3), when L_0 is subject to a finite number of applications of the Darboux process.

We close this introduction with the simplest nontrivial example and then we describe the organization of the paper.

Let us write the operator L_0 in the form $L_0 = \Lambda - 2\text{Id} + \Lambda^{-1}$, where Λ stands for the customary shift operator, acting on functions of a discrete variable $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ by $\Lambda f(n) = f(n+1)$. We can factorize L_0 as

$$L_0 = P_0 Q_0, \quad (1.2)$$

where the operators P_0 and Q_0 are given by

$$P_0 = \text{Id} - \frac{\tau_{n-1}(\delta)}{\tau_n(\delta)} \Lambda^{-1} \text{ and } Q_0 = \Lambda - \frac{\tau_{n+1}(\delta)}{\tau_n(\delta)} \text{Id}, \quad (1.3)$$

with $\tau_n(\delta) = n + \delta$. Applying one Darboux step with parameter δ to the operator L_0 amounts to producing a new operator $L_{1,0}$ by exchanging the order of the factors in (1.2), i.e.

$$L_{1,0} = Q_0 P_0 = \Lambda - \left(2 + \frac{1}{\tau_n(\delta)\tau_{n+1}(\delta)}\right) \text{Id} + \frac{\tau_{n+1}(\delta)\tau_{n-1}(\delta)}{\tau_n(\delta)^2} \Lambda^{-1}. \quad (1.4)$$

The fundamental solution to

$$u_t = L_{1,0}u \quad (1.5)$$

is given by

$$u(n, m, t) = \frac{e^{-2t}}{\tau_{m+1}\tau_n} \left[\tau_m \tau_{n+1} I_{n-m}(2t) - t I_{n-m}(2t) - t I_{n-m+1}(2t) \right]. \quad (1.6)$$

Indeed, it is obvious that $u(n, m, 0) = \delta_{nm}$, and equation (1.5) can be verified using well known identities satisfied by the Bessel functions (see (4.2a) and (4.2b)).

It is important to point out that, when the real line is replaced by the integers, the operators obtained from L_0 by the Darboux process are no longer of the form L_0 plus a potential.

The operators L , obtained from L_0 by a finite number of applications of the Darboux process at the ends of the spectrum, have been recently determined, see [16, 17]. These operators belong to a rank-one commutative ring $\mathcal{A}_V$ of difference operators with unicursal spectral curve. The common eigenfunction $p_n(x)$ to all operators of $\mathcal{A}_V$, with spectral parameter x , is also an eigenfunction to a rank-one commutative ring of differential operators in x with solitonic spectral curve, i.e. we have a difference-differential bispectral situation. Moreover, the functions $p_n(x)$ satisfy an orthogonality relation on the circle. These results will be summarized in the next section and put to use in later ones.

The ring of operators $\mathcal{A}_V$ is also discussed in section 2 and certain operators in it are exhibited in section 3. In section 4 we collect some properties of Bessel functions and then all of this is used in section 5 to obtain our main result, Theorem 5.1. We close the paper with one example to illustrate all the steps of the proof of our main result what covers all cases when L belongs to a *rank one bispectral ring*.

2. RANK-ONE BISPECTRAL SECOND-ORDER DIFFERENCE OPERATORS

Denote by Λ and Δ , respectively, the customary shift and difference operators, acting on functions of a discrete variable $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\Lambda f(n) = f(n+1) \text{ and } \Delta f(n) = f(n+1) - f(n) = (\Lambda - \text{Id})f(n).$$

The formal adjoint to an operator

$$X = \sum_j a_j(n) \Lambda^j$$

is defined to be

$$X^* = \sum_j \Lambda^{-j} \cdot a_j(n) = \sum_j a_j(n-j) \Lambda^{-j}.$$

In this section we describe the operator $L_{R,S}$ obtained by successive Darboux transformations from the operator $L_0 = \Lambda - 2\text{Id} + \Lambda^{-1}$. The symmetric operator L_0 admits (as d^2/dx^2 did in the case of the real line) a unique self-adjoint extension in $l^2(\mathbb{Z})$ (“limit point” case of Weyl’s classification at both

end points). Its spectrum is the interval $(-4, 0)$. The steps of the Darboux transformations are as follows

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 &= P_0 Q_0 \curvearrowright L_{1,0} = Q_0 P_0 = P_1 Q_1 \curvearrowright \cdots \curvearrowright L_{R,0} = Q_{R-1} P_{R-1} \\ L_{R,0} + 4\text{Id} &= P_R Q_R \curvearrowright L_{R,1} + 4\text{Id} = Q_R P_R = P_{R+1} Q_{R+1} \curvearrowright \cdots \\ &\curvearrowright L_{R,S} + 4\text{Id} = Q_{R+S-1} P_{R+S-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

At each step we perform a lower-upper factorization, as we did in (1.3), of the corresponding operator and then we produce a new operator by interchanging the factors. The operator $L_{R,S}$ is obtained by performing R Darboux steps at one end of the spectrum of L_0 and S steps at the other end.

For details of the exposition that follows we refer the reader to [17].

Let $e(k, \lambda)$ be the linear functional acting on a function $g(z)$ by the formula

$$\langle e(k, \lambda), g \rangle = g^{(k)}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, k \geq 0.$$

We shall denote by $\text{Exp}(n; r, z)$ the exponential function

$$\text{Exp}(n; r, z) = (1+z)^n \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r_i z^i \right),$$

where $r = (r_1, r_2, \dots)$. Let us introduce also the functions

$$\mathcal{S}_j^\varepsilon(n; r) = \frac{1}{j!} \langle e(j, \varepsilon - 1), \text{Exp}(n; r, z) \rangle, \quad (2.2)$$

and write

$$\phi_j(n; r) = \mathcal{S}_{2j-1}^1(n+j-1; r) \text{ and } \psi_j(n; r) = \mathcal{S}_{2j-1}^{-1}(n+j-1; r). \quad (2.3)$$

When $\varepsilon = 1$, the functions $\mathcal{S}_j^1(n; r)$ are a shifted version of the classical elementary Schur polynomials, defined by $\exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r_j z^j \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_j(r) z^j$:

$$\mathcal{S}_j^1(n; r) = \mathcal{S}_j(r_1 + n, r_2 - n/2, r_3 + n/3, \dots).$$

For $\varepsilon = -1$, the functions $\mathcal{S}_j^{-1}(n; r)$ are of the form

$$\mathcal{S}_j^{-1}(n; r) = (\text{a polynomial in } n, r_1, r_2, \dots) \times (-1)^n \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-2)^j r_j \right).$$

Finally, let us define

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(n; r) &= \text{Wr}_\Delta(\phi_1(n; r), \dots, \phi_R(n; r), \psi_1(n; r), \dots, \psi_S(n; r)) \\ &\times (-1)^{nS} \exp \left(-S \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r_i (-2)^i \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where Wr_Δ denotes the discrete Wronskian with respect to the variable n :

$$\text{Wr}_\Delta(f_1(n), f_2(n), \dots, f_k(n)) = \det(\Delta^{i-1} f_j(n))_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}.$$

The purpose of the exponential factor in (2.4) is to cancel the exponential factor that comes out from the functions $\psi_j(n; r)$, $1 \leq j \leq S$. With this normalization, the function $\tau(n; r)$ becomes a quasipolynomial in the variables $n, r_1, r_2, \dots$, i.e. in general, $\tau(n, r)$ depends on infinitely many variables, but there exists a positive integer N , such that $\tau(n, r)$ is a polynomial in every variable of degree at most N . The operator $L_{R,S}$ in (2.1) can be expressed in terms of the function $\tau(n, r)$ via the formula

$$L_{R,S} = \Lambda + \left(-2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial r_1} \log \frac{\tau(n+1; r)}{\tau(n; r)} \right) \text{Id} + \frac{\tau(n-1; r)\tau(n+1; r)}{\tau(n; r)^2} \Lambda^{-1}. \quad (2.5)$$

From (2.4) it follows immediately that $\tau(n, r)$ is an adelic tau function¹ of the Δ KP hierarchy defined by $R+S$ one-point conditions, with R conditions at the point zero and S conditions at the point -2 . The reason why the end points of the spectrum of L_0 , 0 and -4 , are replaced by 0 and -2 can be traced back to (2.2) and (2.3). After a suitable linear change of time variables $r_1, r_2, \dots$ the tridiagonal operator $L_{R,S}$ solves the Toda lattice hierarchy, i.e.

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial r'_j} = [(L^j)_+, L], \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

where $(L^j)_+$ denotes the positive difference part² of the operator L^j , and $\{r'_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ are related to $\{r_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ via linear transformation of the form

$$r_j = r'_j + \sum_{k=j+1}^\infty c_{jk} r'_k,$$

see [16] for explicit formulas. The wave function $w(n; r, z)$ and the adjoint wave function $w^*(n; r, z)$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} w(n; r, z) &= \frac{\tau(n; r - [z^{-1}])}{\tau(n; r)} \text{Exp}(n; r, z) \\ &= \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^\infty w_j(n; r) z^{-j} \right) \text{Exp}(n; r, z), \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} w^*(n; r, z) &= \frac{\tau(n; r + [z^{-1}])}{\tau(n; r)} \text{Exp}^{-1}(n; r, z) \\ &= \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^\infty w_j^*(n; r) z^{-j} \right) \text{Exp}^{-1}(n; r, z), \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

¹By an adelic tau function we mean a tau function built from a plane belonging to Wilson's adelic Grassmannian [27] via the construction in [16].

²Equivalently, if we think of L^j as an infinite matrix, $(L^j)_+$ is the upper part of this matrix, including the main diagonal.

where $[z] = (z, z^2/2, z^3/3, \dots)$. The wave operator $W(n; r)$ and the adjoint wave operator $(W^{-1})^*$ can be written in the form

$$W(n; r) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} w_j(n; r) \Delta^{-j} = Q(\Lambda - \text{Id})^{-R}(\Lambda + \text{Id})^{-S}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$(W^{-1})^*(n; r) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} w_j^*(n+1; r) \Delta^{*-j} = P^*(\Lambda^* - \text{Id})^{-R}(\Lambda^* + \text{Id})^{-S}, \quad (2.9)$$

where P and Q are finite-band forward difference operators of order $R + S$ satisfying

$$PQ = (\Lambda - \text{Id})^{2R}(\Lambda + \text{Id})^{2S}, \quad (2.10)$$

see [17, Theorem 3.3].

The tau function $\tau(n; r)$ can be viewed as an infinite sequence (indexed by n) of tau functions of the standard KP hierarchy, associated with a flag of nested subspaces

$$\mathcal{V}: \quad \dots \subset V_{n+1} \subset V_n \subset V_{n-1} \subset \dots, \quad (2.11)$$

with

$$V_n = \text{Span}\{w(n; 0, z), w(n+1; 0, z), w(n+2; 0, z), \dots\}. \quad (2.12)$$

The plane V_0 belongs to Wilson's adelic Grassmannian [27]. Let $x = z + 1$,

$$p_n(x) = W(n; r)x^n = w(n, r, x-1) \exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r_j(x-1)^j\right), \quad (2.13)$$

and similarly

$$p_n^*(x) = W^*(n-1; r)x^{-n} = w^*(n, r, x-1) \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r_j(x-1)^j\right). \quad (2.14)$$

From (2.1) it follows easily that $p_n(x)$ are eigenfunctions of the operator $L_{R,S}$ with eigenvalue $x - 2 + x^{-1}$, i.e.

$$L_{R,S}p_n(x) = (x - 2 + x^{-1})p_n(x). \quad (2.15)$$

Moreover, $p_n(x)$ are also eigenfunctions of a differential operator in x (with coefficients independent of n) and thus provide a difference-differential analog of the rank-one solutions (the KdV family) of the bispectral problem considered by Duistermaat and Grünbaum in [10].

Below we discuss the spectral curve and the common eigenfunction of the maximal commutative ring of difference operators $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}$ containing $L_{R,S}$. The correspondence between commutative rings of difference operators and curves was studied by van Moerbeke and Mumford [26] and Krichever [19] (see also [22] where the case of singular curves was treated very completely).

Let us introduce the ring $A_{\mathcal{V}}$ of Laurent polynomials in x that preserve the flag $\mathcal{V}$:

$$A_{\mathcal{V}} = \{f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}] : f(x)V_n \subset V_{n+k}, \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \quad (2.16)$$

For each $f(x) \in A_{\mathcal{V}}$ there exists a finite band operator L_f , such that

$$L_f p_n(x) = f(x)p_n(x).$$

Moreover, if $f(x) = \sum_{j=m_1}^{m_2} a_j x^j$ with $a_{m_1} \neq 0$ and $a_{m_2} \neq 0$, then the operator L_f has support $[m_1, m_2]$, i.e.

$$L_f = \sum_{j=m_1}^{m_2} b_j(n) \Lambda^j.$$

We can think of L_f as an operator obtained by a Darboux transformation from the constant coefficient operator $f(\Lambda)$. The ring $A_{\mathcal{V}}$ is generated by the functions³

$$w = \frac{1}{2} \left(x + \frac{1}{x} \right) \text{ and } v = \frac{1}{2^{R+S+1}} \frac{(x-1)^{2R+1}(x+1)^{2S+1}}{x^{R+S+1}}, \quad (2.17)$$

i.e. $A_{\mathcal{V}} = \mathbb{C}[w, v]$. Thus the operator $L_{R,S}$ constructed above belongs to a maximal rank-one commutative ring of difference operators $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}$ isomorphic to the ring of Laurent polynomials $A_{\mathcal{V}}$. The spectral curve of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is

$$\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}) : \quad v^2 = (w-1)^{2R+1}(w+1)^{2S+1}.$$

The operators Q and P^* in formulas (2.8) and (2.9), can be expressed in terms of the functions $\{\phi_i(n; r)\}_{i=1}^R$ and $\{\psi_j(n; r)\}_{j=1}^S$ as

$$Q f(n) = \frac{\text{Wr}_{\Delta}(\phi_1(n; r), \dots, \phi_R(n; r), \psi_1(n; r), \dots, \psi_S(n; r), f(n))}{\text{Wr}_{\Delta}(\phi_1(n; r), \dots, \phi_R(n; r), \psi_1(n; r), \dots, \psi_S(n; r))} \quad (2.18)$$

and

$$P^* f(n) = \frac{\text{Wr}_{\Delta^*}(\phi_1^*(n; r), \dots, \phi_R^*(n; r), \psi_1^*(n; r), \dots, \psi_S^*(n; r), f(n))}{\text{Wr}_{\Delta^*}(\phi_1^*(n; r), \dots, \phi_R^*(n; r), \psi_1^*(n; r), \dots, \psi_S^*(n; r))}, \quad (2.19)$$

with $\phi_i^*(n; t) = \phi_i(n + R + S; t)$, $1 \leq i \leq R$, and $\psi_j^*(n; t) = \psi_j(n + R + S; t)$, $1 \leq j \leq S$. Using these explicit formulas for Q and P , one can check that

$$p_n(x^{-1}) = \frac{\tau(n+1; r)}{\tau(n; r)} x p_{n+1}^*(x). \quad (2.20)$$

Finally, (see [17, Theorem 5.2]) one can prove the following orthogonality relation

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C p_n(x) p_m(x^{-1}) \frac{dx}{x} = \frac{\tau(n+1; t)}{\tau(n; t)} \delta_{nm}, \quad \forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (2.21)$$

where C is any positively oriented simple closed contour surrounding the origin, not passing through the points $x = \pm 1$. Indeed, $p_n(x)$ are rational functions on the Riemann sphere with poles only at $x = 0, \pm 1, \infty$. The

³Notice that these generators differ slightly from the ones chosen in [17].

spectral curve $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A}_V)$ has cusps at ± 1 , hence using the fact that the residue of a regular differential at a cusp is always zero (see [25]), we deduce that

$$\text{res}_{x=\pm 1} p_n(x) p_m^*(x) dx = 0.$$

The proof of (2.21) now follows from the discrete Kadomtsev-Petviashvili bilinear identities and the relation between the wave and the adjoint wave functions in (2.20).

3. CERTAIN LAURENT POLYNOMIALS IN A_V

The main result in this section is Proposition 3.1 below which allows us to construct some “universal” Laurent polynomials in A_V . This result is crucial for the proof of Theorem 5.1. As we shall see in Section 5, applying Proposition 3.1, we can reduce the infinite sum in the computation of the fundamental solution of (5.1)-(5.2) to a *finite* sum, modulo some identities among the Bessel functions to be discussed in the next section.

Proposition 3.1. *Let T be $\geq \max(R, S)$, and let $s_0, s_1, \dots, s_T$ be distinct nonzero integers, such that $s_j \equiv s_k \pmod{2}$ and $s_j + s_k \neq 0$, for $0 \leq j, k \leq T$. Then*

$$F_{s_0, \dots, s_T}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^T \frac{x^{s_k}}{s_k \prod_{j \neq k} (s_k^2 - s_j^2)} \in A_V. \quad (3.1)$$

The proof of Proposition 3.1 is based on two simple lemmas related to the Lagrange interpolation polynomial and the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $q(n)$ be an odd polynomial in n of degree at most $2T - 1$, and let $s_0, s_1, \dots, s_T$ be distinct positive numbers. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^T \frac{q(s_k)}{s_k \prod_{j \neq k} (s_k^2 - s_j^2)} = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Consider the Lagrange interpolation polynomial for $q(n)$ at the nodes $\pm s_0, \pm s_1, \dots, \pm s_T$. Since $\deg q(n) \leq 2T - 1$, the Lagrange polynomial must be identically equal to $q(n)$, i.e. we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^T q(s_k) \frac{n + s_k}{2s_k} \prod_{j \neq k} \frac{n^2 - s_j^2}{s_k^2 - s_j^2} + \sum_{k=0}^T q(-s_k) \frac{n - s_k}{-2s_k} \prod_{j \neq k} \frac{n^2 - s_j^2}{s_k^2 - s_j^2} = q(n).$$

Hence, the coefficient of n^{2T+1} on left-hand side must be zero, which gives (3.2). $\square$

The Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind are defined by the following three term recurrence relation

$$2wU_n(w) = U_{n+1}(w) + U_{n-1}(w), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (3.3)$$

with $U_0(w) = 1$ and $U_1(w) = 2w$. From the last formula one sees immediately that $U_n(w)$ is an even/odd polynomial if n is an even/odd positive integer, respectively.

The Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind can be obtained from the Jacobi polynomials by taking $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{2}$:

$$\begin{aligned} U_n(w) &= (n+1) {}_2F_1\left(-n, n+2 \middle| \frac{1-w}{2} \right) \\ &= (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{2^k}{(2k+1)!} \left(\prod_{j=1}^k (n+1)^2 - j^2 \right) (w-1)^k. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

These explicit expressions for $U_n(w)$ will be needed below.

Lemma 3.3. *If $s_0, s_1, \dots, s_T$ are distinct positive integers, then the polynomial*

$$Q_{s_0, \dots, s_T}(w) = \sum_{k=0}^T \frac{U_{s_k-1}(w)}{s_k \prod_{j \neq k} (s_k^2 - s_j^2)} \quad (3.5)$$

is divisible by $(w-1)^T$. Moreover if $s_0 \equiv s_1 \equiv \dots \equiv s_T \pmod{2}$, then

$$(w^2 - 1)^T / Q_{s_0, \dots, s_T}(w). \quad (3.6)$$

Proof of Lemma 3.3. From (3.4) we see that

$$U_{n-1}^{(k)}(1) = \frac{2^k k!}{(2k+1)!} n \prod_{j=1}^k (n^2 - j^2),$$

i.e. $U_{n-1}^{(k)}(1)$ is an odd polynomial in n of degree $2k+1$. From Lemma 3.2 it follows that

$$Q_{s_0, \dots, s_T}^{(k)}(1) = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, T-1,$$

hence $(w-1)^T / Q_{s_0, \dots, s_T}(w)$. If $s_0 \equiv s_1 \equiv \dots \equiv s_T \pmod{2}$, then $Q_{s_0, \dots, s_T}(w)$ is either even or odd polynomial, which proves (3.6). $\square$

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} &F_{s_0, \dots, s_{k-1}, s_k, s_{k+1}, \dots, s_T}(x) - F_{s_0, \dots, s_{k-1}, -s_k, s_{k+1}, \dots, s_T}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{s_k \prod_{j \neq k} (s_k^2 - s_j^2)} (x^{s_k} + x^{-s_k}) \in A_{\mathcal{V}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, without any restriction, we may assume that $s_0, \dots, s_T$ are positive integers. We can rewrite the first equation in (2.17) as $x^2 = 2wx - 1$. From this relation, one can easily see by induction that

$$x^k = U_{k-1}(w)x - U_{k-2}(w), \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (3.7)$$

with the understanding that $U_{-1}(w) = 0$. Using (3.7), we can write the function in (3.1) in the form

$$F_{s_0, \dots, s_T}(x) = Q_{s_0, \dots, s_T}(w)x + \sum_{k=0}^T \frac{U_{s_k-2}(w)}{s_k \prod_{j \neq k} (s_k^2 - s_j^2)}, \quad (3.8)$$

where $Q_{s_0, \dots, s_T}(w)$ is the polynomial defined by (3.5). Clearly, the sum in (3.8) belongs to $A_{\mathcal{V}}$, so it remains to show that

$$Q_{s_0, \dots, s_T}(w)x \in A_{\mathcal{V}}. \quad (3.9)$$

Using (2.17) we can write v in terms of w and x as

$$v = (w-1)^R(w+1)^S(x-w),$$

which gives

$$(w-1)^R(w+1)^Sx = v + w(w-1)^R(w+1)^S \in A_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Therefore, if $T \geq \max(R, S)$, we have

$$(w^2-1)^T x \in A_{\mathcal{V}}. \quad (3.10)$$

The proof now follows from Lemma 3.3. $\square$

4. SOME IDENTITIES SATISFIED BY THE BESSEL FUNCTIONS

The Bessel functions of imaginary argument are defined by the generating function

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} I_k(t) x^k = e^{t(x+x^{-1})/2}, \quad (4.1)$$

whence $I_k(t) = I_{-k}(t)$, and $I_k(-t) = (-1)^k I_k(t)$. Differentiating (4.1) with respect to x and t , one gets

$$kI_k(t) = \frac{t}{2} (I_{k-1}(t) - I_{k+1}(t)), \quad (4.2a)$$

and

$$I'_k(t) = \frac{1}{2} (I_{k-1}(t) + I_{k+1}(t)), \quad (4.2b)$$

respectively. Similarly, one can show that $I_k(t)$ satisfy the modified Bessel equation

$$(t^2 \partial_t^2 + t \partial_t - (t^2 + k^2)) I_k(t) = 0, \quad (4.3)$$

where $\partial_t = d/dt$. The main result in this section is Proposition 4.1 below, which says that if $q(j)$ is an odd polynomial of j , then the sum

$$\sum_{\substack{j > k \\ j \text{ odd/even}}} q(j) I_j(t),$$

can be written as a *finite* linear combination of Bessel functions of the form

$$\sum_{\text{finitely many } j\text{'s}} \alpha_j(t) I_j(t),$$

where each coefficient $\alpha_j(t)$ is a polynomial in t . Let us define a sequence of polynomials $\alpha_j^n(t)$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n = 0, 1, \dots$ as follows

$$\alpha_j^0(t) = \delta_{j0} \frac{t}{2} = \begin{cases} t/2 & \text{if } j = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_j^{n+1}(t) &= (t^2 \partial_t^2 + t \partial_t) \alpha_j^n(t) + \left(t^2 \partial_t + \frac{t}{2}\right) (\alpha_{j+1}^n(t) + \alpha_{j-1}^n(t)) + \\ &\quad \frac{t^2}{4} (\alpha_{j+2}^n(t) - 2\alpha_j^n(t) + \alpha_{j-2}^n(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

From the symmetry of the defining relation, it follows that $\alpha_j^n(t) = \alpha_{-j}^n(t)$. Moreover, it is clear that

$$\alpha_j^n = 0 \text{ for } j \notin [-2n, 2n], \quad (4.6)$$

and $\alpha_{-2n}^n(t) = \alpha_{2n}^n(t) = t^{2n+1}/2^{2n+1}$. For arbitrary $j \in [-2n, 2n]$, $\alpha_j^n(t)$ is a polynomial in t of degree at most $2n + 1$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let k and n be integers, $n \geq 0$. Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{j > k \\ j \equiv k+1 \pmod{2}}} j^{2n+1} I_j(t) = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{s-k}^n(t) I_s(t) = \sum_{s=-2n+k}^{2n+k} \alpha_{s-k}^n(t) I_s(t). \quad (4.7)$$

Proof by induction. For $n = 0$ we have to show that

$$\sum_{\substack{j > k \\ j \equiv k+1 \pmod{2}}} j I_j(t) = \frac{t}{2} I_k(t), \quad (4.8)$$

which easily follows from (4.2a). Assume that (4.7) holds for some n . Then, using the modified Bessel equation (4.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (k + 2l + 1)^{2n+3} I_{k+2l+1}(t) \\ &= (t^2 \partial_t^2 + t \partial_t - t^2) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (k + 2l + 1)^{2n+1} I_{k+2l+1}(t) \\ &= (t^2 \partial_t^2 + t \partial_t - t^2) \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{s-k}^n(t) I_s(t), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that (4.7) holds for $n + 1$, upon using (4.2b). $\square$

5. HEAT KERNEL EXPANSIONS ON THE INTEGERS

In the case of the real line, the solution of the heat equation is not unique unless the class of solutions satisfies a condition of the form

$$|u(x, t)| \leq c_1 e^{c_2 x^2}, \quad c_1, c_2 \geq 0.$$

When one says that the Gaussian kernel is the fundamental solution of the heat equation one (implicitly) assumes that one is considering functions of moderate growth at infinity. Denote by $u(n, m, t)$ the solution of

$$u_t = L_{R,S} u, \quad (5.1)$$

$$u|_{t=0} = \delta_{nm}, \quad (5.2)$$

where $L_{R,S}$ is the second-order difference operator (acting on functions of a discrete variable $n \in \mathbb{Z}$) constructed in Section 2. We make the same implicit assumption here when we say that $u(n, m, t)$ is the fundamental solution.

From (2.15) and (2.21) and elementary spectral theory, it follows that

$$u(n, m, t) = \frac{\tau(m)}{\tau(m+1)} \frac{e^{-2t}}{2\pi i} \oint_C e^{t(x+x^{-1})} p_n(x) p_m(x^{-1}) \frac{dx}{x}, \quad (5.3)$$

where, for simplicity, we have omitted the dependence on the parameters $r = (r_1, r_2, \dots)$. Using (2.20) we can write also the fundamental solution in terms of the wave and the adjoint wave functions as

$$u(n, m, t) = \frac{e^{-2t}}{2\pi i} \oint_C e^{t(x+x^{-1})} p_n(x) p_{m+1}^*(x) dx. \quad (5.4)$$

Theorem 5.1. *The solution of (5.1) with initial condition (5.2) can be written in the form*

$$u(n, m, t) = e^{-2t} \sum_{\text{finitely many } j \text{'s}} \beta_j(n, m, t) I_j(2t), \quad (5.5)$$

where $\beta_j(n, m, t)$ are polynomials in t of degree at most $2T - 1$, with $T = \max(R, S)$.

Proof. From (2.20) it follows that

$$u(n, m, t) = \frac{\tau(m)\tau(n+1)}{\tau(m+1)\tau(n)} u(m, n, t).$$

Thus, we can assume that $k = n - m \geq 0$. Around $x = 0$, we have the expansion

$$\frac{p_n(x) p_m(x^{-1})}{x} = \frac{\tau(m+1)}{\tau(m)} p_n(x) p_{m+1}^*(x) = \frac{x^{n-m-1}}{\tau(n)\tau(m)} (\tau(n+1)\tau(m) + O(x)),$$

which shows that

$$\operatorname{res}_{x=0} \left(x^j p_n(x) p_m(x^{-1}) \frac{dx}{x} \right) = 0 \text{ for } j \geq 1 - k. \quad (5.6)$$

For $\epsilon = 1, 2$ and $i = 0, 1, \dots, T - 1$ denote

$$q_{k+\epsilon+2i}(j) = \frac{j}{k+\epsilon+2i} \prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq i}}^T \frac{j^2 - (k+\epsilon+2l)^2}{(k+\epsilon+2i)^2 - (k+\epsilon+2l)^2}. \quad (5.7)$$

$q_{k+\epsilon+2i}(j)$ is an odd polynomial in j of degree $2T-1$. We have

$$e^{t(x+x^{-1})} = \sum_{j \geq 1-k} I_j(2t)x^j + I_k(2t)x^{-k} \quad (5.8a)$$

$$+ \sum_{j > k+2T} I_j(2t) \left(x^{-j} - \sum_{i=0}^{T-1} q_{k+\epsilon+2i}(j)x^{-(k+\epsilon+2i)} \right) \quad (5.8b)$$

$$+ \sum_{\epsilon=1}^2 \sum_{i=0}^{T-1} \left[\sum_{\substack{j \geq k+\epsilon+2i \\ j \equiv k+\epsilon \pmod{2}}} q_{k+\epsilon+2i}(j) I_j(2t) \right] x^{-(k+\epsilon+2i)}, \quad (5.8c)$$

where in the second sum, for every fixed $j > k+2T$, we choose $\epsilon = 1$ or 2 , so that $j \equiv k+\epsilon \pmod{2}$. Denote by $f_j(x)$ the Laurent polynomial of x in the second sum in (5.8), i.e.

$$f_j(x) = x^{-j} - \sum_{i=0}^{T-1} q_{k+\epsilon+2i}(j)x^{-(k+\epsilon+2i)}.$$

Notice that

$$f_j(x) = -j \prod_{l=1}^T (j^2 - (k+\epsilon+2l)^2) F_{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_T}(x),$$

where $F_{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_T}(x)$ is the function defined by (3.1) with $s_i = -(k+\epsilon+2i)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, T-1$ and $s_T = -j$. Thus, by Proposition 3.1, $f_j(x) \in A_V$, and therefore there exists a difference operator L_{f_j} with support $[-j, -(k+\epsilon)]$, satisfying

$$f_j(x)p_n(x) = L_{f_j}p_n(x).$$

Since $n - (k+\epsilon) = m - \epsilon < m$ we see that

$$\oint_C f_j(x)p_n(x)p_m(x^{-1})\frac{dx}{x} = 0. \quad (5.9)$$

From (5.3), (5.6) and (5.9) it follows that the infinite sums in (5.8a) and (5.8b) do not “contribute” to the fundamental solution $u(n, m, t)$. Finally, the infinite sum in (5.8c) can be rewritten as a finite linear combination of Bessel functions with polynomial coefficients, according to Proposition 4.1, which completes the proof. $\square$

We shall illustrate all steps of the proof by considering the case $R = S = 1$.

Example 5.2. Let $R = S = 1$. From (2.2) and (2.3) one computes

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(n; r) &= \mathcal{S}_1^1(n; r) = n + r_1; \\ \psi_1(n; r) &= \mathcal{S}_1^{-1}(n; r) = \left(-n + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-2)^{j-1} j r_j \right) (-1)^n \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-2)^j r_j \right). \end{aligned}$$

Denote for simplicity $\alpha = r_1$ and $\beta = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (-2)^{j-1} j r_j$. The tau function is given by formula (2.4):

$$\tau(n) = \begin{vmatrix} n + \alpha & -n + \alpha + \beta \\ 1 & 2n + 1 - 2(\alpha + \beta) \end{vmatrix}. \quad (5.10)$$

The second-order difference operator $L_{1,1}$ is given by formula (2.5), with $\partial/\partial r_1 = \partial/\partial \alpha$.

From (2.6), (2.8), (2.13) and (2.18) we get

$$p_n(x) = \frac{x^n}{\tau(n)(x^2 - 1)} \begin{vmatrix} n + \alpha & -n + \alpha + \beta & 1 \\ n + 1 + \alpha & n + 1 - \alpha - \beta & x \\ n + 2 + \alpha & -n - 2 + \alpha + \beta & x^2 \end{vmatrix}. \quad (5.11)$$

From the last formula one can easily deduce that near $x = 0$ we can expand $p_n(x)p_m(x^{-1})$ as

$$x^{n-m} \left(\frac{\tau(n+1)}{\tau(n)} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j x^j \right), \quad (5.12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_j = -\frac{4}{\tau(n)\tau(m)} & \left[(m - \alpha - \beta + 1)(n - \alpha - \beta + 1)(n - m + j) \right. \\ & \left. + (-1)^j (m + \alpha + 1)(n + \alpha + 1)(n - m + j) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

From (5.13) and (4.8) one can see that indeed $u(n, m, t)$ is a finite linear combination of Bessel functions. Below, we shall illustrate how this can be seen following the proof of Theorem 5.1 using just the first few coefficients in (5.12).

Proposition 3.1 tells us that $\forall s, l \neq 0$, such that $s \equiv l \pmod{2}$

$$\frac{x^s}{s} - \frac{x^l}{l} \in A_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Following (5.8), we can write $e^{t(x+x^{-1})}$ as

$$e^{t(x+x^{-1})} = \sum_{j \geq 1-k} I_j(2t)x^j + I_k(2t)x^{-k} \quad (5.14a)$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{j > k+2 \\ j \equiv k+1 \pmod{2}}} I_j(2t) \left(x^{-j} - \frac{j}{k+1} x^{-(k+1)} \right) \quad (5.14b)$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{j > k+2 \\ j \equiv k \pmod{2}}} I_j(2t) \left(x^{-j} - \frac{j}{k+2} x^{-(k+2)} \right) \quad (5.14c)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{k+1} \left[\sum_{\substack{j > k \\ j \equiv k+1 \pmod{2}}} j I_j(2t) \right] x^{-(k+1)} \quad (5.14d)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{k+2} \left[\sum_{\substack{j > k+1 \\ j \equiv k \pmod{2}}} j I_j(2t) \right] x^{-(k+2)}, \quad (5.14e)$$

where $k = n - m$. Using (4.8), we can write the sums in (5.14d) and (5.14e) as

$$\sum_{\substack{j > k \\ j \equiv k+1 \pmod{2}}} j I_j(2t) = t I_k(2t) \text{ and } \sum_{\substack{j > k+1 \\ j \equiv k \pmod{2}}} j I_j(2t) = t I_{k+1}(2t).$$

Thus (5.14) can be rewritten as

$$e^{t(x+x^{-1})} = \sum_{j \geq 1-k} I_j(2t)x^j \quad (5.15a)$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{j > k+2 \\ j \equiv k+1 \pmod{2}}} I_j(2t) \left(x^{-j} - \frac{j}{k+1} x^{-(k+1)} \right) \quad (5.15b)$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{j > k+2 \\ j \equiv k \pmod{2}}} I_j(2t) \left(x^{-j} - \frac{j}{k+2} x^{-(k+2)} \right) \quad (5.15c)$$

$$+ x^{-k} \left[I_k(2t) + \frac{t}{k+1} I_k(2t)x^{-1} + \frac{t}{k+2} I_{k+1}(2t)x^{-2} \right]. \quad (5.15d)$$

The sums in (5.15a), (5.15b) and (5.15c) “do not contribute” to the integral (5.3) (see the proof of Theorem 5.1). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} u(n, m, t) &= e^{-2t} \operatorname{res}_{x=0} \left[\left(I_k(2t) + \frac{t}{k+1} I_k(2t) x^{-1} + \frac{t}{k+2} I_{k+1}(2t) x^{-2} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \frac{\tau(m)}{\tau(m+1)} \frac{p_n(x) p_m(x^{-1})}{x^{k+1}} \right] \\ &= e^{-2t} \frac{\tau(m)}{\tau(m+1)} \left(\frac{\tau(n+1)}{\tau(n)} I_k(2t) + \frac{\gamma_1}{k+1} t I_k(2t) + \frac{\gamma_2}{k+2} t I_{k+1}(2t) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

where γ_1 and γ_2 are the coefficients in the expansion (5.12). Using (5.13) we get the following explicit formula for $u(n, m, t)$

$$\begin{aligned} u(n, m, t) &= \frac{e^{-2t}}{\tau(m+1)\tau(n)} \left[\tau(m)\tau(n+1)I_{n-m}(2t) \right. \\ &\quad + 4t(\beta+2\alpha)(n+m-\beta+2)I_{n-m}(2t) \\ &\quad \left. - 4t(2mn-\beta n+2n-\beta m+2m+\beta^2+2\alpha\beta-2\beta+2\alpha^2+2)I_{n-m+1}(2t) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

If we put $\delta = \alpha + 1/2$ and let $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$u(n, m, t) = \frac{e^{-2t}}{\tau_{m+1}\tau_n} \left[\tau_m \tau_{n+1} I_{n-m}(2t) - t I_{n-m}(2t) - t I_{n-m+1}(2t) \right],$$

where $\tau_n = n + \delta$. Notice that this is exactly formula (1.6) for the fundamental solution computed in the introduction ($R = 1$, $S = 0$).

The referee has raised the interesting possibility of using the formula

$$e^{tAB} = 1 + A \left(\int_0^t e^{sBA} ds \right) B$$

to get an alternative proof of Theorem 5.1. This remains a challenging problem.

Acknowledgments. We thank Henry P. McKean and the referee for suggestions that led to an improved version of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Adler and J. Moser, *On a class of polynomials connected with the Korteweg-de Vries equation*, Comm. Math. Phys. **61** (1978), 1–30.
- [2] H. Airault, H. P. McKean and J. Moser, *Rational and elliptic solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation and a related many-body problem*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **30** (1977), 95–148.
- [3] I. G. Avramidi and R. Schimming, *Heat kernel coefficients for the matrix Schrödinger operator*, J. Math. Phys. **36** (1995), 5042–5054.
- [4] Y. Berest, Huygens principle and the bispectral problem, In: *The bispectral problem (Montreal, PQ, 1997)*, 11–30, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, **14**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [5] Y. Berest and A. Kasman, *$\mathcal{D}$ -modules and Darboux transformations*, Lett. Math. Phys. **43** (1998), 279–294.

- [6] Y. Berest and A. P. Veselov, *The Huygens principle and integrability*, (Russian) Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **49** (1994), 7–78, *translation in Russian Math. Surveys* **49** (1994), 5–77.
- [7] Y. Berest and G. Wilson, *Classification of rings of differential operators on affine curves*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **2** (1999), 105–109.
- [8] N. Berline, E. Getzler and M. Vergne, *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, **298**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [9] O. A. Chalykh, M. V. Feigin and A. P. Veselov, *Multidimensional Baker–Akhiezer functions and Huygens’ principle*, Comm. Math. Phys. **206** (1999), 533–566.
- [10] J. J. Duistermaat and F. A. Grünbaum, *Differential equations in the spectral parameter*, Comm. Math. Phys. **103** (1986), 177–240.
- [11] G. Felder, Y. Markov, V. Tarasov and A. Varchenko, *Differential equations compatible with KZ equations*, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. **3** (2000), 139–177.
- [12] W. Feller, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*, Volume 2, J. Wiley, 1990.
- [13] Ya. I. Granovskii, I. M. Lutzenko and A. S. Zhedanov, *Mutual integrability, quadratic algebras, and dynamical symmetry*, Ann. Physics **217** (1992), 1–20.
- [14] F. A. Grünbaum, The bispectral problem: an overview, In: *Special Functions 2000: Current Perspective and Future Directions*, Eds. J. Bustoz et al. (2001), 129–140.
- [15] F. A. Grünbaum, Some bispectral musings, In: *The bispectral problem (Montreal, PQ, 1997)*, 11–30, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, **14**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [16] L. Haine and P. Iliev, *Commutative rings of difference operators and an adelic flag manifold*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **6** (2000), 281–323.
- [17] L. Haine and P. Iliev, *A rational analogue of the Krall polynomials*, Kowalevski Workshop on Mathematical Methods of Regular Dynamics (Leeds, 2000), J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **34** (2001), 2445–2457.
- [18] M. Kac, *Can one hear the shape of a drum?*, Amer. Math. Monthly **73** (1966), 1–23.
- [19] I. M. Krichever, *Algebraic curves and non-linear difference equations*, (Russian) Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **33** (1978), 215–216, *translation in Russ. Math. Surveys* **33** (1978), 255–256.
- [20] H. P. McKean and I. Singer, *Curvature and the eigenvalues of the Laplacian*, J. Differential Geometry **1** (1967), 43–69.
- [21] H. P. McKean and P. van Moerbeke, *The spectrum of Hill’s equation*, Invent. Math. **30** (1975), 217–274.
- [22] D. Mumford, An algebro-geometric construction of commuting operators and of solutions to the Toda lattice equation, Korteweg-de Vries equation and related non-linear equations, in: M. Nagata (ed.), *Proceedings of International Symposium on Algebraic Geometry (Kyoto 1977)*, Kinokuniya Book Store, Tokyo, 1978, 115–153.
- [23] S. Rosenberg, *The Laplacian on a Riemannian Manifold. An introduction to analysis on manifolds*, London Math. Society Student Texts **31**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [24] R. Schimming, *An explicit expression for the Korteweg-de Vries hierarchy*, Z. Anal. Anwendungen **7** (1988), 203–214.
- [25] J.-P. Serre, *Groupes algébriques et corps de classes*, Hermann, 1959.
- [26] P. van Moerbeke and D. Mumford, *The spectrum of difference operators and algebraic curves*, Acta Math. **143** (1979), 93–154.
- [27] G. Wilson, *Bispectral commutative ordinary differential operators*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **442** (1993), 177–204.

F. A. GRÜNBAUM, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,
BERKELEY, CA 94720-3840, USA

E-mail address: `grunbaum@math.berkeley.edu`

P. ILIEV, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY,
CA 94720-3840, USA

E-mail address: `iliev@math.berkeley.edu`